

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/057,728	Applicant(s) LIEM ET AL.	
	Examiner Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	Art Unit 2195	

All Participants:

(1) Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.

(2) Kurt Rauschenbach
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 December 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Claim objections and 101 Rejections
Claims discussed:
Original claims 30, 31, 34 and 36
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



LEWIS A. BULLOCK, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to amend claims 30 and 31 to depend on a non-canceled claim and claims 34 and 36 to avoid a 101 issue wherein the claims are now directed toward the software and hardware and not software alone. The amendments directly resulting in the allowance of the claims..